¹ Case f:05-cv-09608-JSR Document 174 Filed 01/19/12 Page 2 of 14 1 **APPEARANCES** 2 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 3 Attorneys for Grant Thornton defendants BY: CATHERINE W. JOYCE 4 5 PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP Attorneys for THL defendants 6 BY: PHILIP WYNN 7 MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & MCCLOY LLP 8 Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as Refco Litigation Trustee BY: NICOLE VASQUEZ SCHMITT 9 10 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES LLP Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as Refco Litigation Trustee BY: SASCHA N. RAND 11 12 GIBSON DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 13 Attorneys for Defendants Kavanagh and Owens BY: MITCHELL KARLAN 14 MORVILLO, ABRAMOWITZ, GRAND, IASON, ANELLO & BOHRER, P.C. 15 Attorneys for Defendant Robert Trosten BY: GATES S. HURAND 16 17 PARK & JENSEN LLP 18 BY: BARRY H. JUNKER 19 CHADBOURNE PARK LLP 20 Attorneys for Defendant Schulte Roth & Zabel BY: SCOTT S. BALBER 21 22 LOWENSTEIN SANDLER LLP BY: ELLIOT STEIN 2.3

Attorney for Defendants Liberty Corner and William Pigott

MARINO, TORTORELLA & BOYLE, P.C.

BY: KEVIN H. MARINO

24

25

1 (Case called)

2.3

THE COURT: Good afternoon. That's all? Welcome back. I really missed you guys. I planned for the special masters, who have been doing such a good job. The reason I thought it would be useful to all get together is because I do think we are on the verge of bringing this combination of cases to something approaching an ending.

In particular, as Special Master Hedges has let you know, the Court has determined that all discovery in these cases will be completed by the end of September. I actually had thought that the end of August would be sufficient, but Special Master Hedges really whipped me into shape, so I broke down and said end of September. But that's it. That is firm and final.

What I wanted to hear from you is what I can do to be of help to move these cases forward. One thing, of course, is to rule on the matters that you have already argued before me. The special masters have each told me, and I'll ask them to give me a brief report as well, but they have told me that they on their end are going to continue to move things with great expedition, as they have already, so that they will get to me everything that I need. And I will endeavor similarly to turn around things more quickly than I have in the past in terms of getting decisions to you.

I know there are some disputes about aspects of the

¹ Case f:05-cv-09608-JSR Document 174 Filed 01/19/12 Page 5 of 14

discovery schedule, not the bottom-line closing date but aspects of it, and those will be resolved by Special Master Hedges. There is also at least one or two things sitting in the Second Circuit, which of course will exercise due diligence and get us a decision by 2015 or so. But there is nothing much we can do about that. Everything else I want to move forward.

I really wanted to hear from you folks as to anything you wanted to bring to my attention that would help you to meet that deadline and that otherwise would be relevant. Also, since the one case we had set for trial got resolved, if there are other cases that we can use as sort of a trial trial, so to speak, that would be a good thing to start scheduling as well.

Let me hear first from the special masters. I was going to say in order of age, but I don't know. Anyway, I'm in no position to cast that stone.

SPECIAL MASTER HEDGES: Your Honor, as you say, there is light at the end of the tunnel. I'm working right now on the motion to dismiss brought by Grant Thornton. I should have that done by the end of the week or, if not, by the end of the year it will be done.

Then comes the motion business by Mayer Brown. This is in the Krys action. Then comes the motion to dismiss by the remaining ones who have actually filed a motion to dismiss by the round-trip loan defendants. Those I think are following a pattern, as you know, and I think fitting that pattern will

¹ Case f:05-cv-09608-JSR Document 174 Filed 01/19/12 Page 6 of 14

1 | kind of speed this up.

2.3

Then there are two that are kind of outliers in terms of that pattern that are not the Krys matters. There is a counterclaim brought by Aaron that has been, my understanding, fully briefed, not yet argued. It does need to be argued. I don't want to do the argument yet. It doesn't make sense if I'm still working on these three things.

Then the malpractice action brought against Schulte Roth by the Krys plaintiffs, which has been fully argued, because it's kind of outside the pattern of the defendants who are defending aiding and abetting claims, I thought that would be the best way to structure.

I think I'll be done with that. Don't hold me in contempt for failing to meet this date, your Honor, but March 1st should be the end of that.

THE COURT: I can't, of course, give you any assurance about contempt, but March 1st sounds good.

SPECIAL MASTER CAPRA: There are a couple, if I may, your Honor, of outliers that I'm not sure about. There was a motion to dismiss filed on December 8th. Was that a personal jurisdiction motion? I think it is in the Krys v. Aaron.

MR. PENDLETON: I think it is Andrew Feighery, the third-party defendant, who has just filed a motion to dismiss. We have actually been in touch with his counsel. Our papers in opposition to that, Judge, would be due on the 27th. He was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

2.3 bring to my attention.

MR. BEUS: I don't think we have anything to add.

THE COURT: All right.

¹ Case f:05-cv-09608-JSR Document 174 Filed 01/19/12 Page 8 of 14 1 MR. ANKER: Same for the defendants, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Then I thank you so much for making 3 yourself available. Do you want to use this courtroom? We 4 have other matters going on. 5 SPECIAL MASTER HEDGES: Whatever is convenient for 6 you. 7 THE COURT: Hang on a minute. We'll look across the 8 hallway. I think Judge Cedarbaum's courtroom is available. 9 Which case, if any, assuming it were appropriate for 10 this Court to try it in an MDL, which case would be the first 11 case ready for trial? 12 SPECIAL MASTER HEDGES: Mr. Karlan? 13 MR. KARLAN: I'm not on trial yet, Judge. I haven't 14 been indicted yet. 15 SPECIAL MASTER HEDGES: I understand. Things may 16 After the last discovery ruling we had, and I kind of 17 limited discovery, you're it, aren't you, the next case? 18 MR. KARLAN: Are you referring to Krys v. Sugrue, your 19 Honor? SPECIAL MASTER HEDGES: Yes. 20 21 MR. KARLAN: I don't know anything about the other 22 matters that are in the MDL. With respect to Krys v. Sugrue, I 2.3 think there are two questions, and perhaps they are not ripe

whether your Honor intends to have what I am confident will be

today, but I'll raise them for your consideration. One is

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the series of summary judgment motions that will be filed at the close of discovery or perhaps much sooner referred first to Special Master Capra, as we did with the motions to dismiss, because I think that will have some impact.

THE COURT: The answer to that is, all other things being equal, that would be the path I would go. But if it seems like it's going to delay thing inordinately, then I might, throwing wisdom to the winds, undertake to decide those motions myself in the first instance without an R and R.

All of you have been working hard, and I have no complaint whatsoever as to the assiduousness of counsel in this case. I'm blessed with having so many fantastic counsel. But I'm just a little concerned. This is a 2007 MDL. It's now 2011. I don't have any other case on my docket that is remotely as old. Of course, this is old because it's so complicated, there are so many cases, there are so many interlocutory appeals.

One of my mentors was Milton Pollack, and if he were still alive, which would have been a real possibility, since he lived to 97, he would have been ashamed of me. He would have said, how come you didn't finish this by December 2007?

In all seriousness, I do want to move it along. Having said that, the preference would be in the first instance to send those motions to the special master.

> MR. KARLAN: I'm confident, having spoken to many of

my colleagues, that I'm not alone in intending to move at the earliest possible opportunity, probably before discovery is over, for summary judgment.

THE COURT: You're free to move for summary judgment at any time, but you won't necessarily get two bites at that apple.

MR. KARLAN: Understood, Judge. That sort of segues into what I think is the other issue for your Honor's consideration, probably not today, and that is whether in the Krys v. Sugrue case your Honor will conclude that it is fair to the defendants here to have one trial in what is really four or five different cases that have been pled in a sort of an uber complaint.

THE COURT: I certainly don't have a view of that at the moment.

MR. KARLAN: Understood.

THE COURT: Just out of curiosity, for one big trial, how long would that be? And if it were five small trials, how long would they be?

MR. KARLAN: I'll stick my neck out here. The trial that I think my clients belong in, if there should be a trial, would be one that did not involve those defendants who are now in prison who were affiliated with Refco, would not involve the bank defendants, and would not involve the accounting firm defendants. What's left of the defendants I think your Honor

1 | could try in ten court days.

2.3

MR. ANKER: Your Honor, Philip Anker for the bank defendants. I have a slightly different perspective than Mr. Karlan does, and I don't like to punt when asked a question by a judge or by anyone else. Discovery of the SPhinX plants --

THE COURT: It's OK to punt. Just don't say that you refuse to admit or deny.

MR. ANKER: I am admitting that I am punting. I am not refusing to admit or deny. I understand the implications of that in this courtroom.

Discovery of the plaintiffs' theory of the case of what SPhinX did and did not do is about to commence. From my perspective, I don't think we are in a position to give the Court a meaningful and a helpful answer to both the timing question and can one try this case all together or is it multiple trials at this juncture.

As we get into discovery, we should be in a much better position to have an informed judgment. And I know that we as defense counsel will confer among ourselves and will be happy to chat with Mr. Beus and come back before the Court and give the Court our views on that question.

THE COURT: I do think it is premature for me to address this further at this time. From a judicial resources perspective, I've learned that it really doesn't make much difference whether it's a bunch of short trials or one very

2.3

long trial. I don't have an institutional preference, so to speak, either way. I just want to know what makes the most sense.

Anyone else want to raise anything?

SPECIAL MASTER HEDGES: Judge, another matter brought up in the letter of yesterday. Kirschner v. Bennett seems to be getting close to a trial date or ready for trial date. Everybody is standing up.

MR. KARLAN: Kirschner is the only one in Grant Thornton. There is one claim left.

THE COURT: Which you are about to --

MR. KARLAN: No, it's done.

MS. JOYCE: Cathy Joyce. We have a schedule in that case that has summary judgment briefing through the end of April, and then we'll need a ruling on summary judgment. There has not been a trial date yet set in the case.

THE COURT: We could set a trial date in that case today, yes?

MR. RAND: Sascha Rand on behalf of the Refco private actions trustee. Yes, we could. Summary judgment should be fully briefed by the end of April, and we hope for an expedient decision from your Honor and then a trial date.

SPECIAL MASTER CAPRA: Might I interject, your Honor?

I don't know if you want to hear that summary judgment motion,
but in April I could hear that. There shouldn't be much before

 $1 \parallel \text{me}$.

2.3

MS. JOYCE: The current schedule for summary judgment is reply briefs going in on April 30th.

THE COURT: I'm assuming the special master could have a recommendation on that by, say, third week of May?

SPECIAL MASTER CAPRA: Three weeks from that, yes.

THE COURT: Yes. Why don't we set a trial date. How long a trial are we talking about, assuming summary judgment was denied in all respects?

MR. RAND: We are thinking two to three weeks, your Honor. I'm well aware of your ability to move things along. I would try to anticipate two to three weeks. There are a number of witnesses from Grant Thornton and the company that would be called as well as some FX customers witnesses and experts.

THE COURT: Counsel agrees?

MS. JOYCE: I agree.

THE COURT: I can give you any of the following dates:

June 20th, July 5th, or August 1st.

MR. RAND: Your Honor, with the Court's indulgence, I would love to be able to confer with my senior partner on the matter who will be trying the case as the first chair. If your Honor would permit me that indulgence, I'd appreciate it. I can get right back to the court and the special master.

THE COURT: That's fine. Your adversary may want to do so as well. But it's got to be one of those three dates.